



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION**

TY'SHUN MARIO KAL'LIEM BESELLIEU, §  
Plaintiff, §  
§  
vs. § Civil Action No. 8:20-03189-MGL  
JANA HOLLIS and BRYAN P. STIRLING, §  
Defendants. §

---

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DEEMING AS  
MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

---

Plaintiff Ty'Shun Mario Kal'Liem Bessellieu (Bessellieu), proceeding pro se, filed this civil action against Jana Hollis (Hollis) and Bryan P. Stirling (Stirling) alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Hollis is the only defendant who has been served, as no summons was issued for Stirling.

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge recommending Bessellieu's motion for a temporary restraining order (TRO) and a preliminary injunction (PI) be deemed as moot. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court

may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 22, 2021. To date, Bessellieu has failed to file any objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court Bessellieu’s motion for a TRO and PI is **DEEMED AS MOOT**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 7th day of April 2021, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis  
MARY GEIGER LEWIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.